

PATENT APPLICATION
IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q91974

Takashi KAMIYA, et al.

Appln. No.: 10/563,220

Group Art Unit: 2121

Confirmation No.: 1492

Examiner: Sean P. SHECHTMAN

Filed: January 3, 2006

For: AUTOMATIC PROGRAMMING METHOD AND AUTOMATIC PROGRAMMING
DEVICE

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Please review and enter the following remarks summarizing the interview conducted on
January 22, 2009.

REMARKS

Applicant thanks the Examiner for a courteous telephonic interview on January 22, 2009.

The Statement of Substance of the Interview is as follows:

During the interview, Restriction Requirement was discussed. The Examiner indicated that he will carefully review Applicant's traversal. The Examiner further alleged that "the specified machining unit" in claim 10 is unclear as no previous machining unit was specified.

Accordingly, this feature of claim 10 will be interpreted to recite: "the specified a machining unit that corresponds to the one of the machining unit names." The Examiner indicated that there is no need to amend the claim at this time.

Statement of Substance of Interview
U.S. Application No. 10/563,220

It is respectfully submitted that the instant STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW complies with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§1.2 and 1.133 and MPEP §713.04.

It is believed that no petition or fee is required. However, if the USPTO deems otherwise, Applicant hereby petitions for any extension of time which may be required to maintain the pendency of this case, and any required fee, except for the Issue Fee, for such extension is to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-4880.

Respectfully submitted,

/Allison M. Tulino/ 48,294 for

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

Nataliya Dvorson
Registration No. 56,616

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: February 6, 2009